



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,374	12/09/2003	Jef Sutherland	A8659	5336
72875 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037	7590 07/28/2009			
EXAMINER				
ROBINSON BOYCE, AKIBA K				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3628				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/28/2009		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@sughrue.com
kglyndman@sughrue.com
USPatDocketing@sughrue.com

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/707,374

Applicant(s)

SUTHERLAND, JEF

ExaminerAKIBA K. ROBINSON
BOYCE**Art Unit**

3628

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) AKIBA K. ROBINSON BOYCE.(3) R. Lee.(2) John Hayes.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 23 July 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: Cahill et al (US 2002/0099574 A1), Panico (US 2003/0162536 A1), Heinrich (US 3,446,278), Inokuchi (US 2004/0080510 A1), ...

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: examiner's supervisor agreed that the Heinrich reference did not apply, and upon receipt of amendment/response, examiner will re-evaluate references used, and will perform an updated search if necessary. Examiner's supervisor also presented patent US 2005/0098627(Chase) for possible consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Akiba K Robinson-Boyce/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3628